

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,502	06/09/2000	Robert L. Piccioni	067555.0102	2473	
75	90 11/14/20				
Darren W Collins Esq			EXAMINER		
Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980			KANG, PAUL H		
Dallas, 1X /5.	201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142	-	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F

···	Application No.	plicant(s)	F
Advisor, Advisor	09/590,502	PICCIONI, ROBERT L.	•
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul H Kang	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	ed
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	:P
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the correspor f the shortened statutory period fice later than three months after	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate ex I for reply originally set in the final Office acti	tension ion; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The newly added limitations require furt	her consideration.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendn	nent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Set		en considered but does NOT place t	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed So	DLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. Other:			
		KENNETH R. COULTE PRIMARY EXAMINED LENNELL	



Application No. 09/590,502

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented are based on newly added limitations not considered.